



HEC'D 1 6 DEC 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 JAN 2005

TS 8579 PC1		ary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
nternational application No. PCT/EP 03/08062	International filing date (day/month/year) 16.07.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.07.2002
nternational Patent Classification (IPC) or C10L1/04	both national classification and IPC	
Applicant SHELL INTERNATIONALE RESE	ARCH MAATSCHAPPIJ B.V.	
This international preliminary ex Authority and is transmitted to the	camination report has been prepared by the applicant according to Article 36.	his International Preliminary Examining
2. This REPORT consists of a total	al of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.	
	panied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the d ne basis for this report and/or sheets cont tion 607 of the Administrative Instructions	lescription, claims and/or drawings which have taining rectifications made before this Authority sunder the PCT).
These annexes consist of a tot		
This report contains indication	s relating to the following items:	
I ⊠ Basis of the opinio		
II		
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability
IV D Look of unity of in	vention	
	ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to n anations supporting such statement	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
V ⊠ Reasoned stateme citations and expla		
citations and expla VI 🔲 Certain document	s cited	
citations and explain VI	s cited the international application	
citations and explain VI ☐ Certain document VII ☐ Certain defects in	s cited	
citations and expla	s cited the international application ons on the international application	pletion of this report
citations and explain VI □ Certain document VII □ Certain defects in	s cited the international application ons on the international application	pletion of this report
Citations and expla VI	s cited the international application ons on the international application Date of com	pletion of this report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08062

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** as originally filed 1-9 Claims, Numbers as originally filed 1-11 **Drawings, Sheets** as originally filed 1/3-3/3 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to

listing has been furnished.

☐ the description,

the claims,

the drawings,

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

pages: Nos.:

sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/08062

5. □	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since the been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	ey have
	Decit considered to account and any other and any	

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

1-11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims No:

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-11

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/EP 03/08062

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following document:
- D6: "Heizung + Klimatechnik 01/02", (RECKNAGEL), Sprenger, Schramek, ISBN: 3-468-26450-8, page 718 [cited in the application]
- D7: DRY M E: "Fischer-Tropsch reactions and the environment" APPLIED CATALYSIS A: GENERAL, ELSEVIER SCIENCE, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 189, no. 2, 6 December 1999 (1999-12-06), pages 185-190, XP004272055 ISSN: 0926-860X [cited in the search report]
- 2. Document D6, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (cf. abstract) a process to generate heat by burning a liquid fuel in an evaporator burner oven.

The subject-matter of claim 1 therefore differs from this known process in that a the fuel burned in the evaporator burner oven comprises a Fischer-Tropsch derived fuel.

Claim 1 is therefore novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

3. The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as reducing carbon deposits and emissions of unburned hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide.

However, it is known to the skilled person that burning a Fischer-Tropsch derived fuel causes less carbon deposits, no significant odour and reduced carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbon emissions (e.g. see D7: p.188, ln.19-34). As these advantages of a Fischer-Tropsch fuel are already known, the skilled person would use a Fischer-Tropsch derived fuel in a burner according to D7, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.

Hence, the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application can not be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

4. It is noted that the subject-matter of claim 1 consists in the selection of a particular fuel for evaporator burner ovens. Such a selection can only be regarded as inventive, if the employed fuel presents unexpected effects or properties, over the those known from the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/08062 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

prior art. However, no such effects or properties are indicated in the application.

5. Dependent claims 2-11 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to inventive step, as they come within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can be readily contemplated in advance.